



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FIL	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/674,914	0	9/30/2003	Bevil J. Hogg	5236-000452	5236-000452 8982	
28997	7590	07/17/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER	
		, & PIERCE, P.L	ROGERS, KRISTIN D			
7700 BONHOST. LOUIS,				ART UNIT	ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
ŕ				3736		

DATE MAILED: 07/17/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			190
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	-
	10/674,914	HOGG ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Kristin D. Rogers	3736	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appeariod for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet w	th the correspondence addre	ss
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perio - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNION 1.136(a). In no event, however, may and will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONUTE, cause the application to become Ali	CATION. reply be timely filed ITHS from the mailing date of this comm BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21	June 2006.		
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ Th	is action is non-final.		
3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allow			erits is
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D). 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-17 and 23-41</u> is/are pending in the	e application.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) 7,18-22,26,27,31,3	2,36 and 37 is/are withdraw	n from consideration.	
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			•
6) Claim(s) <u>1-6, 8-17, 23-25, 33-35, 38-41</u> is/ard	e rejected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	or election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examin	ner.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ ac	ccepted or b) objected to	by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	ne drawing(s) be held in abeyar	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	gn priority under 35 U.S.C. §	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
 Certified copies of the priority docume 	nts have been received.		
2. Certified copies of the priority docume			
3. Copies of the certified copies of the pr		received in this National Sta	age
application from the International Bure	•	rassivad	
* See the attached detailed Office action for a li	st of the certified copies flot	received.	
Attachment(s)			
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 		Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date	
Notice of Draitsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P10-946) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No(s)/Mail Date		Informal Patent Application (PTO-15	52)

Application/Control Number: 10/674,914 Page 2

Art Unit: 3736

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election with traverse of the elected Group 1, claims 1-17 and 23-41 and Species III, claim 10 in the reply filed on June 21, 2006 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that the election of species is improper. This is not found persuasive because applicant has failed to establish sufficient arguments explaining why all distinct species claimed should be examined. Furthermore, the Applicant's specification clearly discloses the cited species as patentably distinct.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore claims 1-6, 8-17 and 23-25, 28-30, 33-35 and 38-41 will be examined on the merits.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 1-6, 8-17, 33-35, and 38-41 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Burnside et al. (6237604). In regard to claims 1, 33 and 38, Burnside et al. shows a medical navigation system for controlling the distal end of an elongate flexible medical device in a subject's body (Figure 1), the system comprising: an elongate flexible medical device 22, together with an electronic identification device for elongate flexible medical device identification 12 and 50; a navigation device for actuating the distal end of an elongate flexible medical device and thereby changing its

Application/Control Number: 10/674,914

Art Unit: 3736

orientation 26 column 4 lines 54-63; an electronic interface for selectively operating the navigation device for selectively controlling the orientation of the distal end of the elongate flexible medical device 51, the electronic interface comprising a processor 68 and at least one software program that enables navigation control only in the presence of the electronic identification device (column 2 line 35 to column 3 line 53). In regard to claims 2, 35 and 38, the electronic identification device includes a memory, and wherein the interface includes a reader for reading the memory 50 (column 5 line 67 to column 6 line 2). In regard to claim 3, the electronic identification device includes a memory unit and a processing unit that communicates with the interface for transferring information (column 7 lines 6-38). In regard to claims 4 and 5, the memory contains unique identifying information about the type of device, and wherein the interface includes a database of the unique identifying information of the type of devices with which the interface is intended to operate (column 12 line 44 to column 13 line 59). In regard to claims 6 and 41, the electronic identification device is a circuit that is connected to the interface 12 and 50 (figures 1,27, 10, and 12). In regard to claims 8-9 and 40-41, the memory contains unique identifying information about the device, and wherein the interface includes a database of the unique identifying information for devices with which the interface is intended to operate (column 2 lines 15-29). In regard to claim 10, the electronic identification device is a RF circuit that transmits a signal to the interface 12. In regard to claim 11, the interface includes a plurality of programs, each adapted for use with a different type of elongate flexible medical device, each program operating only when an electronic identification device for the particular type of elongate flexible

Application/Control Number: 10/674,914 Page 4

Art Unit: 3736

medical device is present (column 2 line 30 to column 3 line 34). In regard to claim 13, the interface operates on the electronic identification device to prevent reuse of the elongate flexible medical device (abstract). In regard to claim 14, the interface tracks elapsed time of use of the identified elongate flexible medical device and invalidates use of the identified elongate flexible medical device when the elapsed time exceeds a predefined limit (column 2 line 52 to column 3 line 35). In regard to claim 15, the processing unit operates on the memory unit to prevent reuse of the elongate flexible medical device (column 2 line 52 to column 3 line 35). In regard to claim 16, the electronic identification device includes memory, and wherein the interface adds to or deletes information stored on the memory to prevent reuse of the device (column 3 lines 9-35). In regard to claims 17, 34 and 39, wherein the at least one software program controls navigation by employing a computational model of flexible device physics. It is obvious that the device of Burnside et al. includes a processor and control circuitry, it is inherent that there is a program involved because it is the processor that tells a program what to do (column 3 lines 9-26 and also see Viswanathan 20040068173).

4. Claims 23-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Osadchy et al. (6266551). In regard to claim 23,Osadchy et al shows a medical navigation system for navigating the distal end of an elongate flexible medical device inside a subject's body, the system comprising an elongate flexible medical device 20; a navigation device for actuating and orienting the distal end of the elongate medical device (column 7 lines 9-28 and column 9 lines 26-45); an interface comprising a processor and at least one software program for selectively controlling the navigation

Application/Control Number: 10/674,914 Page 5

Art Unit: 3736

device to selectively orient the distal end of the elongate medical device 90, the improvement comprising an electronic identification device 90 provided with the elongate flexible medical device 20, which enables at least one navigation control software program of the interface to function. In regard to claim 24, at least one software program controls navigation by employing a computational model of flexible device physics 34. In regard to claim 25, the electronic identification device includes a memory, and wherein the interface is adapted to read the memory 90 (abstract).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
 - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
 - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
 - 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
 - Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 7. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein

Application/Control Number: 10/674,914

prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Art Unit: 3736

were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g)

Claims 28-30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over 8. Osadchy et al. (6266551). In regard to claims 28-30, drafting a claim in Jepson format (i.e., the format described in 37 CFR 1.75(e); see MPEP § 608.01(m)) is taken as an implied admission that the subject mater of the preamble is the prior art work of another. In re Fout, 675 F.2d 297, 301, 213 USPQ 532, 534 (CCPA 1982). In regard to claims 28 and 29. Osadchy et al. shows a medical navigation system for navigating the distal end of an elongate flexible medical device inside a subject's body, the system comprising an elongate flexible medical device 20; a navigation device for actuating and orienting the distal end of the elongate flexible medical device (column 7 lines 9-28 and column 9 lines 26-45); an interface comprising a processor and at least two software programs each adapted for controlling the navigation device for a specific type of elongate flexible medical device to selectively orient the distal end of the elongate flexible medical device, the improvement comprising an electronic identification device 90 provided with the elongate flexible medical device 20, which enables the appropriate navigation control software program for the particular medical device of the interface to function. In regard to claim 30, the electronic identification device includes a memory, and wherein the interface is adapted to read the memory.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kristin D. Rogers whose telephone number is 571.272.7293. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday 8:00am - 4:30pm EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Max Hindenburg can be reached on 571.272.4726. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

KDR

MAX F. HINDENBURGL/ SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700